UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,617	09/29/2004	Pao-Yun Tang	HANP0006USA	5616
27765. 7590 08/02/2007 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
MERRIFIELD	, VA 22116	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711,617	TANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung Nguyen	2871			
The	 MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we year within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ma</u>	ay 2007.				
<i>'</i> =	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	(s) 1,3-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the apt the above claim(s) is/are withdraw (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1,3-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Pa	pers					
10)∭ The dr Applic Replac	pecification is objected to by the Examiner rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept ant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection to the objection to the correction of the corre	pted or b) objected to by the I rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	,	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Dra	oftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Dther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/08/2007 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' amendment dated 11/22/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 1, 3-6, 8-19 are now pending in the application.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 8-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim

Art Unit: 2871

to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art (APA), figures 1-2, in view of Sato, US 2006/0097380 A1.

Regarding the above claims, APA discloses a liquid crystal module (100) comprising a glass substrate (104) having a display area (120) and a peripheral area (130), a gate driver chip (108), a source driver chip (106); wherein the gate/source driver chip(s) are directly mounted on the glass substrate (see figure 2) with an anisotropic conductive film (110).

APA, however, do not explicitly disclose the thickness of the gate/source driver chip is less than 0.3mm. Sato does disclose a gate/source driver chip (IC chip 9) having a thickness of 0.140mm (paragraph [0067], line 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a gate/source driver chip having a thickness less than 0.3mm as shown by Sato in order to make a portable display since the IC chip thickness can be reduced as thin as less than 0.3mm.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 07/23/2007

/Dung T. Nguyen/
Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871